



ADDENDUM 3

DHHS Response to Vendor Questions

No.	Question (Section Ref.)	Answer
1	(3.1.1.1.) Under <i>Evaluation Methodologies and Stakeholder Communication Plan</i> calls for a "NH-based office and administrative support separate from DHHS, for the fulfillment of contractual responsibilities articulated herein;" will DHHS entertain bids from organizations without a NH-based office who have demonstrated highly effective stakeholder communication and program evaluation activities?	The successful bidder need not be based in NH. The requirement in subsection 3.1.1.1. speaks to what must be provided under the contract – not the location of the Bidder's corporation.
2	(5.2) Would the state consider defining a budgeted hour amount estimate so that bids can be compared fairly?	No.
3	(3.1.4.1) Is it the intent of the state to have the Independent Assessor contractor select the Independent Review Panel? That could be a conflict of interest if the Independent Review Panel is ultimately accepting, editing, or denying the Independent Assessor recommendations.	No; per 3.1.4.1, the Contractor shall assemble an Independent Review Panel based on standards outlined by DHHS, and subject to DHHS approval , including conflict-free attestation.
4	(3.1.1.2) Defines that the Independent Assessor contractor will develop the scoring methodology. Section 3.1.2.3 states that Manatt, Phelps, and Phillips have defined the scoring methodology for the applications. Which Section is accurate?	3.1.2.3. The Independent Assessor contractor will use the scoring methodology developed by Manatt, Phelps, Phillips. See Addendum 2.
5	(3.3.1.1) defines the Mid-Point Assessment and Semi-Annual Report for DY2 as requirements for staffing. Given that this is a 6 month contract is that statement true?	No. See Addendum 2.